This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,442	10/31/2001	Mike Sheldon	MFCP.81059	2397
5251	7590 07/29/2004		EXAMINER	
SHOOK, HARDY & BACON LLP			HUYNH, BA	
2555 GRAND BLVD KANSAS CITY., MO 64108			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	"		2179	
			DATE MAILED: 07/29/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	≺			
		10/001,442	SHELDON ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	-			
		Ba Huynh	217 87				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply		correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	'					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2001 is/are:		to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∍d.				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and T	rademark Office						

Art Unit: 21787

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent #6,473,102 (Rodden et al), in view of US patent #6,581,020 (Buote et al).
- As for claims 1, 6, 7: Rodden et al (herein Rodden) teach a computer implemented method and corresponding system for displaying a graphical window on a display screen having a screen resolution, comprising the steps/means for: automatically and inversely changing the size of a display window responsive to changing the screen resolution (1:22-28; 3:62-66),

Rodden fails to clearly teach the comparing the screen resolution against a predetermined threshold value; and automatically maximizing the size of the window on the display screen if the screen resolution is below the pre-determined threshold value. However, in the same field of window layout, Buote et al teach the comparing screen resolution against a predetermined threshold value and automatically maximizing the size of the window on the display screen if the screen resolution is below the pre-determined threshold value (Buote's 11:15-21). It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine Buote's teaching to Rodden for automatically maximizing the window at a

Art Unit: 21767

predetermined resolution threshold. Motivation of the combining is to predefine the window size to avoid the lost of information (i.e., the window become larger than the display screen). The steps/means for determining the screen resolution for the display screen is inherently included in Boute's teaching of resolution threshold.

- As for claims 2, 3. Per Rodden, the user may control the size and position of selected windows so that the windows will not be automatically maximized due to the change in resolution (1:28-31; 4:32-42).
- As for claim 5: Per Boute, the predetermined threshold value is 800 pixels by 600 pixels (11:15-21).
- As for claims 4, 11: It is inherently included in Rodden's teaching of window that the window include a sizing button for reducing (thus restoring) the size of the window by a predetermined amount. Even if it is not, Official notice is taken that implementation of the window sizing button is well known, and would have been obvious to one of skill in the art for controlling the size of the window.
- As for claims 8, 10, 12-14: Rodden et al (herein Rodden) teach a computer implemented method and corresponding system for displaying a graphical window on a display screen having a screen resolution, comprising the steps/means for: automatically and inversely changing the size of a display window responsive to changing the screen resolution (1:22-28; 3:62-66),

Rodden fails to clearly teach the comparing the screen resolution against a predetermined threshold value; and automatically maximizing the size of the window on the display screen if the screen resolution is below the pre-determined threshold value. However, in the

Art Unit: 21769

same field of window layout, Buote et al teach the comparing screen resolution against a predetermined threshold value and automatically maximizing the size of the window on the display screen if the screen resolution is below the pre-determined threshold value (Buote's 11:15-21). It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to combine Buote's teaching to Rodden for automatically maximizing the window at a predetermined resolution threshold. Motivation of the combining is to predefine the window size to avoid the lost of information (i.e., the window become larger than the display screen). The steps/means for determining the screen resolution for the display screen is inherently included in Boute's teaching of resolution threshold. Per Rodden, the user may control the size and position of selected windows so that the windows will not be automatically maximized due to the change in resolution (1:28-31; 4:32-42).

- As for claim 9: It is inherently included in Rodden that the creating step is performed through an application programming interface call, and wherein said determining step is performed by monitoring the application programming interface call (3:25-39).
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ba Huynh whose telephone number is (703) 305-9794. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (703) 308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 21787

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ba Huynh

Primary Examiner

AU 2179 7/23/04

. .

.

.